

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/784,131	02/19/2004	David Barry Berger	SMC1P027/12617-2	5345		
22434 7:	22434 7590 09/29/2006 E					
BEYER WEA	VER & THOMAS,	JOHNSON, BLAIR M				
	CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•		:	3634			

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/784,1	31	BERGER, DAVID BARRY				
		Examine	r	Art Unit	- <u> </u>			
_		Blair M. J	ohnson	3634				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	Idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. ory period will apply and w I, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATI rent, however, may a reply be rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro blication to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this concome (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>24 July 200</u> 6.						
)⊠ This action is r	ion-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-15,27-42,46-50 and 56-58</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>51-55</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-11,16-20,25,26 and 43-45</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) 6,7 and 21-24 is/are objected							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	i) accepted or b)	objected to by th	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s)	oe held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	•	•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	•		eived in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	ee the attached detailed Office action (or a list of the cert	fled copies not rece	elvea.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

Election/Restrictions

Claims 12-15,27-42,46-50 and 56-58 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/27/06.

Note that claims 27,28 and 56-58 are directed to the embodiment of Figs. 18-20, which is distinct from the other Figs. elected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1,8,10,11,16,25,26 and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kwon et al.

See inner carriage 11, external carriage 13, spiral rod 8, tilt lines 18, and stop 12. The friction reducing element of claim 1 is met by the surfaces of the glass and/or magnet. Regarding the raise/lower mechanism, see 16, etc., and line 17, as well as paragraph 0071.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwon et al.

The use of rollers as bearings for a rotating shaft is well known and official notice is taken that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such for Kwon et al so as to reduce friction.

Claims 2-5 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwon et al in view of Early et al.

The use of rollers to reduce the friction between a magnet and glass in the operation of a blind is taught by Early et al. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to modify Kwon et al to have such rollers for this purpose.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6,7 and 21-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 51-55 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ɓlair M. Johnsøh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634 Page 4

BMJ 9/20/06